UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,961	09/18/2003	Timothy Forrester	UTL 00173	8320
32968 7590 08/22/2007 KYOCERA WIRELESS CORP.		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 928289			NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG	
SAN DIEGO, G	CA 92192-8289		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/665,961	FORRESTER, TIMOTHY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tuan H. Nguyen	2618	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of A this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affid places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in co a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mus time periods:	avit, or other evidence, which ompliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FTWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origins set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	the fee. The appropriate extension fee ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be fil filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 AMENDMENTS	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, v (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially redu appeal; and/or	ucing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reject	cted claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Com	npliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1 50 1 1 1 1 1 1
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, time non-allowable claim(s).	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-8 and 10-25</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the dentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See	and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after ent REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	try is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	
NAY MAUNG SUBEDISODY BATCHT CVALUE - 9	Tuan H. Nguyen
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	AU 2618 571-272-8329

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly proposed claimed languages raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.